



(02)

GA 1003/92

1- APPEAL OF PRISONER Division Bench (Criminal)

No. 3205 (3205)

Name सुसमि गंगा

Father's Name हसमा

Residence जगदलपुर

Age 28 years Sentenced to आजीवन कारावास on

27-7-92 Under Section 302 I.P.C. by सत्र न्यायालय जगदलपुर

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by a legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the case for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may be heard at all if the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of application for copy of Judgement :-
2. Date of which copy received :- 27-7-92
3. Date on which Appeal sent :- 27-7-92
4. Whether the prisoner wished to be represented or not. Yes/No

No. 3205 Name सुसमि गंगा श. हसमा

Continued in 7/29 to 27/92 Jail जगदलपुर

No. 122 / October Dated 29-7-92

Forwarded to the CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE जगदलपुर together with a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court.

Superintendent, Central Distt. / Sub-Jail (बस्तर) म.प्र.

Date of receipt in C.J.M's Office.

Date of receipt of record to accompany. 30/7/92

Memo of Appeal of the Appellate Court.

No. Dated

Forwarded to the Session Judge Jaggdalpur

C.J. Magistrate. 30/7/92

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

C.A. 1003/92

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench : Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin. J & Hon'ble Shri Dilip Deshmukh. J 26-07-2005 None appears for the appellant. Shri J.D. Bajpai, Govt. Advocate/Addl. Public Prosecutor and Shri Ravindra Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Learned State counsel submits that the appellant Pusami Ganga has been granted special reprieve on the eve of Independence Day and released from Central Jail, Jagdalpur on 15-8-2000. .When the case is called neither the appellant nor his counsel is present. It is indicative of the fact that the appellant is not interested in pursuing his appeal any more. In the above circumstances and in the light of decision of the Apex Court rendered in the case of <u>Ram Naresh Yadav and Others vs. State of Bihar</u> reported in <u>AIR 1987 SC 1500</u>, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge

H/-